INTRODUCTION

It has been a long-held suspicion that vultures transmit anthrax over long distances (Bullock 1956; Ebe des 1976). U. de V. Pienaar, biologist at the Kruger National Park (KNP) in the 1960s, held the conviction that water polluted by vultures bathing in their hundreds rendered waterhole water an important source of anthrax in the KNP (Pienaar 1961, 1967) and V. de Vos, long-time veterinarian and scientific adviser in the KNP, has continued to support the theory that vultures contribute to the transmission of anthrax by contaminating water holes (De Vos 1990; De Vos & Bryden 1996; Hugh-Jones & De Vos...
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2002; De Vos & Turnbull 2004) referring to this as “the water cycle” (De Vos & Bryden 1996). On the other hand, De Vos (De Vos 1990; Hugh-Jones & De Vos 2002; De Vos & Turnbull 2004) supports the belief of Houston & Cooper (1975) that by rapidly consuming the bacilli-laden soft tissues of the anthrax victim before the anthrax bacilli have a chance to sporulate (the vegetative bacilli are destroyed in the vultures’ digestive tracts), vultures minimize residual contamination and therefore have a role in curtailing spread of the disease.

Houston & Cooper (1975) considered that for a vulture to play a role in disease transmission, the agent of the disease must (a) cause clinical or subclinical infection and be passed out in the bird’s secretions or excretions, or be spread by vectors, or (b) be transmitted mechanically on the bird’s feet or feathers, or (c) be regurgitated with pellets from the crop, or (d) pass through the vulture’s alimentary tract and be voided in the faeces. They felt too little was known about diseases of vultures to be able to assess (a). While they considered that (b) can undoubtedly occur, they felt the most important potential method of disease dissemination would come from (c) and (d). They fed anthrax vaccine spores to White-backed Vultures and recovered them from the faeces but failed to recover what they implied were vegetative *Bacillus anthracis* cells (although the culture details are not given and feeding the spore form of *B. anthracis* alone, is not truly representative of what occurs in the field). Lindeque & Turnbull (1994) found that anthrax spores could be detected at low concentrations in the faeces of vultures associated with anthrax carcasses.

Vultures are well adapted to scavenging carcasses of animals that have died from diseases of microbial origin. As reviewed by Ohishi, Sakaguchi, Riemann, Behymer & Hurvell (1979), turkey vultures, *Cathartes aura*, have been shown to be highly resistant to botulinum toxins (Kalmbach 1939; Pates 1967, cited by Ohishi et al. 1979). This has been attributed, at least in part, to a resistance in the parasynaptic nerve endings (Cohen 1970, cited by Ohishi et al. 1979). Ohishi et al. (1979) themselves, using passive haemagglutination and serum neutralization tests, observed naturally occurring antibodies to all the botulinum toxins in 18 of 20 turkey vultures and suggested that this might contribute to the resistance of these birds to botulism. In anthrax-endemic areas where large numbers of vultures feed on the carcasses of anthrax victims, wildlife workers report finding a dead vulture on the rare occasion but, generally, the cause of death is not investigated. One of the authors of the present article (De Vos) recalls confirming death from anthrax in one vulture by blood smear examination and subsequent culture of *B. anthracis* from its blood. It was, however, the only bird found dead out of a very large number feeding on carcasses during several large outbreaks of the disease in the KNP. It seems clear, therefore, that fatal illness from anthrax in vultures is rare. However, there is no information on whether they suffer clinical or subclinical infection. Houston & Cooper (1975) considered that, even if they do, this was unlikely to play a major role in the spread of anthrax in the herbivorous species predominantly affected by the disease. Nevertheless, the possible effect of any morbidity that does occur on the population dynamics of the vultures themselves remains unknown.

The purpose of this study at the outset was to look for serological evidence of infection occurring in vultures consuming the carcasses of animals that had died of anthrax.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Three groups of vultures were involved. The sera from Groups 1 and 2 were from the collection at the Rare and Endangered Species Trust (REST), Otjwarongo, Namibia.

**Group 1** comprised 19 wild caught vultures consisting of six Cape Vultures, *Gyps coprotheres*, ten White-backed Vultures, *Gyps africanus*, and three Lappet-faced Vultures, *Aegypius tracheliotus*. These derived from groups which generally circulate over the area just south of the Etosha National Park in Namibia and probably over the park itself. Although their precise ages were not known at the time of the investigation, four of them were immature or juveniles. Seven were females, six were males and the sex of the remainder was undetermined. Two of the birds were bled in March 2004, two in November 2004 and the remainder in January and February 2005.

**Group 2** consisted of 15 Cape Vultures initially housed at the De Wildt Cheetah and Wildlife Trust, North West Province, South Africa. They had been transferred to REST in two shipments in 2003 and 2004, respectively, and held in a release aviary until October 2005, when they were bled and released. Their capture dates from the wild were not available, but two had been captive bred since hatching in May 2000 and one since hatching in May 2003. Two of the birds were still immature at time of bleeding. The group was made up of eight females and seven males.
Group 3 was a control group with well defined histories. These were captive-reared vultures comprising six Cape Vultures and one White-backed Vulture, housed at the National Zoological Gardens of South Africa, Pretoria, and three Cape Vultures hand reared at the Rhino and Lion Wildlife Conservation Non-profit Organisation, Nyoka Ridge, North West Province, South Africa. Five were juveniles (maximum 7 months old), three were females, three males and the remainder sex undetermined. Blood was collected from these in January 2007.

The sera were tested for antibodies to the protective antigen (PA) of *B. anthracis* by the inhibition enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) used in previous studies (Turnbull, Broster, Carman, Manchee & Melling 1986; Turnbull, Carman, Lindeque, Joubert, Huebschle & Snoeyenbos 1989; Turnbull, Doganay, Lindeque, Aygen & McLaughlin 1992; Turnbull, Tindall, Coetzee, Conradie, Bull, Lindeque & Huebschle 2004). ELISA plates with 96 wells (Nunc MaxiSorp, Nunc A/S, Roskilde, Denmark) were coated by adding 50 μl per well of a 7.5 μg/ml solution of PA (a lyophilized preparation kindly supplied by Dr Stephen Leppla, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA in 1998) in carbonate coating buffer (pH 9.4).

The plates were held in a refrigerator overnight and washed with buffered saline (phosphate or tris) containing 0.5 ml/l Tween-20 (BST). Then 150 μl of a 10% (w/v) solution of dehydrated skim milk in phosphate buffered saline (no Tween) was added to each well and the plates left at room temperature for 30–60 min. After washing with BST, two rows of wells were used for each test. In the first row, 50 μl BST containing 10% (w/v) skim milk (BSTM) were dispensed into each well with an extra 25 μl in the first well. The wells in the second row (inhibition line) of wells) each received 50 μl of BSTM containing 7.5 μg/ml PA. Again an extra 25 μl was added to the first well. To the first wells of each row were added 25 μl of the test serum, pre-diluted where necessary, and serial doubling dilutions were made to the ends of the rows. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for approximately 1 h before washing, addition of conjugate that was made up in BSTM and, after further incubation and washing, subsequent addition of substrate ABTS (Kirkegaard & Perry Laboratories, Maryland, USA). The reactions were read after a 40 min incubation period at 37 °C.

In the absence of antiserum to vulture immunoglobulins, rabbit anti-chicken IgY-peroxidase conjugate (Code A9046, Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA) and, to confirm the results with a number of the sera, Protein A-peroxidase conjugate (Code P8651, Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA) were used to detect the captured vulture immunoglobulins. The anti-chicken conjugate was used at a dilution 1:750 in BSTM and the Protein-A conjugate at 1:250 with 50 μl per well.

The tests on Groups 1 and 2 sera were carried out in the Etosha Ecological Institute, Okaukuejo, Namibia in November 2005. The Group 3 tests were done at the National Institute for Communicable Diseases, Sandringham South Africa, in January 2007.

**RESULTS**

Taking the three groups as a whole, the means and standard deviations of the ELISA readings (expressed as log₂) were 7.63 (SD 1.35), 4.81 (SD 1.42) and 5.25 (SD 1.12), respectively for Group 1, the wild caught birds in northern Namibia, Group 2, the De Wildt birds in South Africa and Group 3, the captive reared controls. This indicates a highly significant difference between Group 1 vultures and both other groups (*P* < 0.001 by Student’s unpaired *t*-test). There was no significant difference between the De Wildt and control groups (*P* > 0.05).

At the outset, when Groups 1 and 2 sera were tested in November 2005, there were no available positive and negative controls and test controls depended on serology being carried out at the same time on other species (human, lion and rhinoceros) for which controls were available from previous studies (Turnbull *et al*. 1992, 2004). At that time, by the criteria for a positive normally used (three consecutive pairs of wells showing a ≥20% difference between test and inhibition lines), only one indisputably unreactive serum was found. This was not one of the three birds that had been captive bred and reared. It was therefore necessary to establish a baseline test reading and this subsequently became possible in January 2007 with the Group 3 vultures. It then became clear that 12 of the 19 (63%) wild caught Namibian (Group 1) vultures had raised antibody titres to PA, as compared with none of the 15 birds from the De Wildt sanctuary in South Africa.

Numbers in the Namibian group (Group 1) were too small to determine any significances in species-, sex- or age-related differences within the raw data showing elevated titres in four of the six Cape Griffons, six of the ten White-backed Vultures and one of the three Lappet-faced Vultures, or in five of six males *versus* three of seven females, and ten of 15 adults *versus* one of four juveniles.
**DISCUSSION**

**Exposure to anthrax**

The absence of elevated titres in the South African vultures as compared with the Namibian birds appears to support the evidence from the tracking data (Fig. 1) that transects of areas patrolled by vultures in southern Africa generally do not exceed about 300 km (although it is recognized that, on occasion, they can fly far greater distances). In the case of the Group 1 birds, such patrol areas take in sites of potentially high year-round incidences of anthrax in northern Namibia. In the farms south of Etosha, although cattle are vaccinated, anthrax certainly occurs in other species. Thirty cases of anthrax in goats, of which 15 were confirmed in the Outjo area, 15 confirmed cases in oryx, *Oryx gazella*, and two bovine cases (one confirmed) in the Otjiwarongo.

**FIG. 1** Representation of areas covered by six vultures as mapped by satellite telemetry, 2004–2005
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**FIG. 2** Incidents of anthrax in wildlife and livestock in South Africa, 1995–2005. Geographical locations of the incidents listed in Table 1
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district in 2004 and further reported cases in wildlife in these districts in 2005 (annual reports of the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Rural Development, 2004, 2005) are possibly representative of substantially more cases that are not observed or reported. The Ministry’s annual reports further show that anthrax also occurs in livestock in the districts north and northwest of Etosha and the enzootic southeast region of the Etosha National Park itself is easily within the reach of Group 1 vultures.

In contrast, although the principal patrol areas of the De Wildt vultures in South Africa are not known, the majority of the birds are brought in from the Magaliesberg mountain range in the North West and Gauteng provinces. This range lies approximately 500 km from the areas of South Africa experiencing the highest incidence of anthrax (Fig. 2, Table 1). In addition, there are no records of anthrax in southern Botswana in the annual reports of the Botswana National Laboratory in recent years, and the only known recent occurrence of the disease has been in the wildlife of the Jwana Game Reserve at Jwaneng, also about 500 km from the Magaliesberg (Fig. 2) where it has been apparent since 2004 (K. Good, personal communication 2006).

It can be seen from Table 1 that the reported incidence of anthrax in South Africa is not high (with seasonality only apparent in the livestock) and the majority of recorded incidents over the 10-year period 1995–2005 involved only small numbers of animals. Even taking account of the probability that wildlife cases were underreported, this further implies a limited likelihood that the De Wildt vultures had frequent, if any, access to anthrax carcasses.

Although numbers were too small to permit any species associated differences in development of anti-PA antibodies, or to assess the significance of sex and age differences in the birds showing elevated titres, the higher proportions of males than females, and of adults as compared with juveniles, showing elevated titres are in line with the frequently observed bias towards adulthood and males in cases of anthrax in animals (Clegg, Turnbull, Foggin & Lindeque 2007). In the case of vultures, the well-established social hierarchy based on arrival times at a carcass, aggression and beak and body sizes (Hertel 1994) may promote such biases.

The initial difficulties experienced over interpreting the Groups 1 and 2 results in November 2005 that led to the need for constituting and testing Group 3 in January 2007, are attributed to ‘background noise’ from non-specific ‘stickiness’. High binding background exhibited by normal sera of some species is well recognised and frequently referred to anecdo-
tally as a problem when performing the ELISA (OIE 2000; S. Welkos, personal communication 2007) and ELISA shares with many test systems the need to establish the threshold ‘signal-to-noise ratio’. Probable sources of background in ELISA were discussed by Pruslin, To, Winston & Rodman (1991) who observed that each serum they tested had a characteristic background value. In our case, inclusion of the high concentration of milk (10% w/v milk powder) in the diluent for all stages prior to adding the ABTS substrate was aimed at minimizing non-specific stickiness. The possibility that the problem lay within the use of the rabbit anti-chicken IgY-peroxidase conjugate was ruled out by confirmation of results in repeat tests on a proportion of the sera using Protein A-peroxidase conjugate. Similarly, the possibility that milk was not a suitable blocking agent, was ruled out by showing that replacing milk with foetal calf serum (5% v/v) made no difference. Protective antigen is highly specific for *B. anthracis*; cross-reacting antigens have never been reported and are thereby again ruled out as being the cause of background noise.

**Infection or translocation of toxin?**

The ELISA used is based on detection of antibodies to PA. The presence of these antibodies is generally regarded as indicating that non-lethal systemic infection by *B. anthracis* has occurred, although the possibility needs to be borne in mind that elevated titres conceivably could represent antibodies to PA components that are translocated through the gut wall to the blood stream. In the case of botulism, this was the implication of the report of Ohishi *et al.* (1979) that turkey vultures naturally develop antibodies to botulinum toxins. On the other hand, some years earlier, Pates (1967, cited by Ohishi *et al.* 1979) failed to demonstrate natural antibodies to botulinum toxins or non-specific detoxifying substances in the blood of this species. Blood from guinea pigs dying of anthrax was found to have approximately 40 μg of PA per ml (Turnbull 1990), and the hand-held on-site anthrax diagnostic device, based on detection of PA, has shown that wild animals similarly have high levels of PA at death from anthrax (Burans, Keleher, O’Brien, Hager, Plummer & Morgan 1996). Scavengers, therefore, do ingest substantial amounts of the toxin as well as the bacterium, *B. anthracis*, when feeding on anthrax carcasses.

The results therefore show that, in a manner somewhat analogous to lions (Turnbull *et al.* 1992), serology in vultures can serve as an indicator of anthrax activity, albeit over a wider area than is the case with lions. Unfortunately, in that it is not possible to say with certainty whether elevated titre indicates infection *per se* in vultures, as opposed to absorption of incompletely digested epitopes of the toxin, the findings fail to answer the question as to whether any part of the role of vultures in the anthrax cycle is a result of their becoming infected themselves. Thought needs to be given to how it can be established whether toxin or infection, or both, are responsible for elevated titres.

**Vultures and the spread of anthrax**

The answers to further questions on the role of vultures in spreading anthrax remain reliant on surveillance and epidemiological indicators. One such indicator, for example, suggesting that the role of vultures in spreading anthrax is generally minimal is that the REST (Namibian) birds frequent the Waterberg Plateau among other sites and there has been no indication that anthrax occurs on the plateau. Expressed more generally, considering the distances vultures fly, a greater and faster spread of anthrax than actually occurs might be anticipated if they were major carriers (MacAdam 1980). Anthrax spores were not found in a random examination of sediment from the pool at the REST vulture restaurant in September 2006 as might have been expected with vultures frequently encountering anthrax carcasses. Likewise, during the period of the study, anthrax spores could not be detected in sediments examined in November 2005 from a waterhole heavily frequented by vultures during a major epidemic of anthrax 1 year earlier in a wildlife trust in Zimbabwe (Clegg *et al.* 2007). In an earlier study in the Etosha National Park (Lindeque & Turnbull 1994), anthrax spores were found in the droppings of nine of 18 vultures in the vicinities of anthrax carcasses but the numbers of spores were invariably low and well below what is normally considered to be a likely infectious dose for a grazing herbivore that might encounter them. Evidence has never been presented that anthrax spores can germinate in the intestinal tracts of animals with subsequent colonization or multiplication by the emerging bacilli. Faeces from a vulture which has fed on an anthrax carcass appear, therefore, to be of low risk in terms of giving rise to infection in other animals but the risk must be presumed to rise substantially at sites, such as artificial water holes, which become literally ‘white washed’ when large numbers of the birds congregate after feeding extensively on an anthrax carcass, or carcasses in an outbreak situation.
Actions in the event of an anthrax epizootic

The roles played by scavengers in transmission of anthrax during large outbreaks is unclear and, in the event of a major outbreak of the disease, wisdom dictates that, if pressures on staff do not permit immediate incineration of the carcasses, an important temporary action is to cover carcasses with canvas or thick plastic to deter access by scavengers and by flies. This will minimize spillage of body fluids onto the ground, maximize destruction of the anthrax organisms by putrefactive processes within the unopened carcass and reduce the chance of scavengers or flies spreading the disease. In the case of vultures, vulture diversions (e.g. diverting them to a specific controlled water hole and decoy carcasses) may be appropriate actions.

It is well recognized that the global population of vultures is in major crisis, particularly in Asia. Their importance within the ecosystem should not be underestimated and care should be taken to ensure that a balanced view is maintained of the part they play in the cycle of anthrax. On the one hand, they admittedly may carry infected blood and gore on their beaks and feathers and spores in their intestines after feeding on an anthrax carcass but, on the other hand, they minimize residual environmental contamination from such carcasses by consuming the bacilli-laden tissues before most of the bacilli have sporulated. In addition, for game managers and farmers, circling vultures have always been, and continue to be, the best signal that deaths have occurred in the field, therefore, enabling prompt action where cases or outbreaks of anthrax are occurring. There is no valid reason to consider culling of vultures as part of anthrax control measures; indeed such action might increase problems associated with both anthrax and other diseases as a result of the infected or toxic carcasses, or parts of carcasses, that would remain unscavenged in their absence.
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