maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2017
the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns
Approximate Total Returns N$ 91,750

Combined tourism returns
N$ 0  ( %)
Combined hunting returns
N$ 89,450  (97 %)
Veld product returns
N$ 0  ( %)
Other returns (e.g. interest)
N$ 2,350  (3 %)

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2017
estimates are based on average national values
Estimated human wildlife conflict cost
N$ 110,780
Estimated poached high value species loss
N$ 0
Total conflict cost estimate
N$ 110,780

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2017
the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs

Nature Conservation
Combination of cost–return ratio

Category Performance
1 Adequate staffing
2 Adequate expenditure
3 Audit attendance
4 NR management plan
5 Zonation
6 Leadership
7 Display of material
8 Event Book modules
9 Event Book quality
10 Compliance
11 Game census
12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment
13 Law enforcement
14 Human Wildlife Conflict
15 Harv/ing management
16 Sources of NR income
17 Benefits produced
18 Resource trends
19 Resource targets

Conservancy income
N$ 91,750

Employment
Private Sector
Conservancy
6 staff
N$ 50,850

Number of incidents per year
Commercial poaching is a serious threat to
conservancy benefits.

Type of damage by problem animals 2015-2017
the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years;
the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type

Wildlife status summary in 2017

Key to the status barometer
Wildlife status
extinct  very rare  rare uncommon common abundant
week/bad  reasonable  good
Management performance & other data
Success/threat flags
success/ benefit created
weakness/ action needed
Conservancies reduce environmental costs
while increasing environmental returns.
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monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2017</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
<th>Count Trend</th>
<th>National Guideline</th>
<th>Desired Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>222 - 290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 - 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>259 - 340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>89 - 140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtn. zebra</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>278 - 750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21 - 220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife Status

Count trend – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

Landscape status – gives the species status in the focal landscape; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and may be rare at landscape level.

Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

*dark green* (abundant) – there should be more; *light green* (common) – the desired number is reached; *yellow* (uncertain) – there should be more; *light orange* (rare) – there should be more than double; *dark orange* (very rare) – there should be more than triple; *red* (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Estimated</th>
<th>Desired</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td>89 - 140</td>
<td>259 - 340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td>21 - 220</td>
<td>4 - 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife introductions

Annual game count

Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. As a point of reference the dashed horizontal line represents the combined 10 year average in Palmwag and Etendeka concessions. Status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.

Predator monitoring

Charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years.

Vegetation monitoring

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long term average (2001-2016).

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

**Conservancy statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>July 2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population (2011 census):</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>1446</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conservancy Governance**

- Number of management committee members: Men: 2; Women: 5
- Date of last AGM: 
- Attendance at AGM: Men: ; Women: 
- Date of next AGM: Thu, May 31, 2018

**Other important issues**

- Financial report approved? ✗
- Budget approved? ✗
- Work plan approved? ✓
- Chairperson's report approved? ✗

**Employment**

- Conservancy staff: Male 6; Female 0
- Community game guards: 6
- Community resource monitors: 0
- Lodge staff: Male 0; Female 0

**Benefits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash</th>
<th>In Kind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meat Distribution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conservancy Self Evaluation** How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Prev. Year</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Management and Utilisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Business and Financial Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Management/Register</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>