 maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

### Conservancy status summary

**Returns from natural resources in 2017**
- The chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns.
- Approximate Total Returns N$ 1,761,700

**Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2017**
- Estimates are based on average national values.
- Estimated human conflict cost N$ 35,450
- Estimated poached high value species loss N$ 0
- Estimated poached high value species loss N$ 0
- Total conflict cost estimate N$ 35,450

**Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2017**
- The chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs.

### Human wildlife conflict

**Human wildlife conflict trend**
- The chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators.

**Most troublesome problem animals 2015-2017**
- The chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species.

**Type of damage by problem animals 2015-2017**
- The chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type.

### Poaching

**Number of incidents per year**
- Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category.

### Management performance in 2017

- Category Performance
  1. Adequate staffing 2.28
  2. Adequate expenditure 1.71
  3. Audit attendance 0.76
  4. NR management plan 0.76
  5. Zonation 0.76
  6. Leadership 2.28
  7. Display of material 0.2
  8. Event Book modules 0.4
  9. Event Book quality 0.6
  10. Compliance 0.8
  11. Game census 1.0
  12. Reporting & adaptive m/ment 1.2
  13. Law enforcement 1.4
  14. Human Wildlife Conflict 1.6
  15. Harv/ing management 1.8
  16. Sources of NR income 2.0
  17. Benefits produced 2.2
  18. Resource trends 2.4
  19. Resource targets 2.6

### Wildlife status summary in 2017

- The chart shows the average trophy value for each species in the conservancy landscape.

### Wildlife removals – quota use and value

- The chart shows the total returns and approximate conflict costs.

### Key to the status barometer

- Wildlife status: Extinct, Very rare, Rare, Uncommon, Common, Abundant
- Success/threat flags: Success/ Benefit created, Weakness/ Action needed

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2017</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Desired number</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1567 - 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>684 - 1050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>1166 - 3140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14 - 150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife Status

Count trend – gives the species status in the conservation based on game count trend data.

Landscape status – gives the species status in the focal landscape; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and may be rare at landscape level.

Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

- dark green (abundant) – there should be less;
- light green (common) – the desired number is reached;
- yellow (uncommon) – there should be more;
- light orange (rare) – there should be more than double;
- dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple;
- red (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare... species

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>January 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>3036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservancy Governance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of management committee members:</th>
<th>Men: ; Women:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of last AGM:</td>
<td>Mon, October 16, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attendance at AGM:</td>
<td>Men: ; Women:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of next AGM:</td>
<td>Mon, August 20, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other important issues

- Financial report approved? ✓
- Budget approved? ✓
- Work plan approved? ✓
- Chairperson’s report approved? ✗

Employment

- Conservancy staff: Male 12, Female 4
- Community game guards: 5
- Community resource monitors: 1
- Lodge staff: Male 7, Female 6

Benefits

- Cash
  - Traditional Authority
  - Funeral Assistance
  - Community Projects
- In Kind
  - Meat Distribution
  - Transportion

Conservancy Self Evaluation

How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Prev. Year</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Management and Utilisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plan is just a draft and was never returned to the conservancy by the MCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Just a draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Just a draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Business and Financial Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Management/Register</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nothing has been done</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>