maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2017

- Combined tourism returns: N$ 142,200 (%)
- Combined hunting returns: N$ 0 (%)
- Veld product returns: N$ 0 (%)
- Other returns (e.g. interest): N$ 142,200 (100 %)

Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy:
- Cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments
- Employment to conservancy residents

Conservancy income: N$ 142,200

Employment

- Private Sector
- Conservancy

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2017

- Estimated human wildlife conflict cost: N$ 48,740
- Estimated poached high value species loss: N$ 0
- Total conflict cost estimate: N$ 48,740

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2017

- Natural resource returns outweight approximate conflict costs
  - Total returns: N$ 142,200
  - Approximate conflict costs: N$ 48,740
  - Approximate positive ratio 3 : 1

Human wildlife conflict

Most troublesome problem animals 2015-2017

The chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species.

Type of damage by problem animals 2015-2017

The chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type.

Poaching

Number of incidents per year

Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category.

Traps and firearms recovered

Number of incidents per category

Wildlife status summary in 2017

- Extinct
- Endangered
- Rare
- Uncommon
- Common
- Abundant

- Weak/bad
- Reasonable
- Good

Wildlife status

- Success/threat flags
  - Success: benefit created
  - Weakness: action needed

Management performance & other data

- Adequate staffing
- Adequate expenditure
- Audit attendance
- NR management plan
- Zonation
- Leadership
- Display of material
- Event Book modules
- Event Book quality
- Compliance
- Game census
- Reporting & adaptive management
- Law enforcement
- Human Wildlife Conflict
- Harvest management
- Sources of NR income
- Benefits produced
- Resource trends
- Resource targets

Wildlife status – quota use and value

Species

- Quota 2017
- Animals actually used in 2017
- Potential Trophy Value N$ (High Value)
- Potential other use value N$

Management performance in 2017

- Category: Performance
  - 1 Adequate staffing
  - 2 Adequate expenditure
  - 3 Audit attendance
  - 4 NR management plan
  - 5 Zonation
  - 6 Leadership
  - 7 Display of material
  - 8 Event Book modules
  - 9 Event Book quality
  - 10 Compliance
  - 11 Game census
  - 12 Reporting & adaptive management
  - 13 Law enforcement
  - 14 Human Wildlife Conflict
  - 15 Harvest management
  - 16 Sources of NR income
  - 17 Benefits produced
  - 18 Resource trends
  - 19 Resource targets

Key to the status barometer

- Extinct
- Very rare
- Rare
- Uncommon
- Common
- Abundant

- Weak/bad
- Reasonable
- Good

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2017</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtn. zebra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife Status

- **Count trend** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on the game count trend data.
- **Landscape status** – gives the species status in the focal landscape; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and may be rare at landscape level.
- **Desired number** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

- **dark green** (abundant) – there should be less;
- **light green** (common) – the desired number is reached;
- **yellow** (uncommon) – there should be more;
- **light orange** (rare) – there should be more than double;
- **dark orange** (very rare) – there should be more than triple;
- **red** (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species

- **Sightings indicator**
- **Annual rainfall**
- **Annual game count**

Wildlife introductions

Wildlife mortalities

Annual rainfall

Annual game count

charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count
status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years

Predator monitoring

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year
status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years

Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

**Conservancy statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>May 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population (2011 census):</td>
<td>2170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>1548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conservancy Governance**

- **Number of management committee members:** Men: 5; Women: 11
- **Date of last AGM:** Sat, June 24, 2017
- **Attendance at AGM:** Men: 36; Women: 58
- **Date of next AGM:** Mon, June 25, 2018

**Other important issues**

- Financial report approved?
- Budget approved?
- Work plan approved?
- Chairperson’s report approved?

**Conservancy Self Evaluation** How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Prev. Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Management and Utilisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Business and Financial Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Management/Register</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key Compliance Requirements**

- Was an AGM held? ✓
- Were elections held? x
- Is there a Benefit Distribution Plan? ✓
- Is there a Game Management and Utilisation Plan? ✓
- Was an Annual Financial Report produced? ✓

**Employment**

- **Conservancy staff:**
  - Male: 4
  - Female: 2
- **Community game guards:** 3
- **Community resource monitors:** 2
- **Lodge staff:**
  - Male: 0
  - Female: 0

**Benefits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash</th>
<th>In Kind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Benefit Distribution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Explanation of effectiveness rating**

- Poor:
  - The conservancy does not have enough resources to conduct game management activities
  - Activities were only partially done
  - No benefits to distribute
  - No activities carried out
  - Not all assets are together
  - Activities were conducted effectively
  - The committee has done well in conducting community outreach meetings at centres

- Fair:
  - Activities were conducted effectively

- Good:
  - Good financial management and no money missing