maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

**Conservancy status summary**

- **Returns from natural resources in 2016**
  - The chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns.
  - Approximate Total Returns: N$ 113,930

- **Employment**
  - Private Sector: 8 staff
  - Conservancy: 8 staff

- **Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2016**
  - Estimated human wildlife conflict cost: N$ 389,050
  - Estimated poached high value species loss: N$ 4,850
  - Total conflict cost estimate: N$ 393,900

- **Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2016**
  - The chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs.

**Management performance in 2016**

- Category: Performance
  - Adequate staffing: 1
  - Adequate expenditure: 2
  - Audit attendance: 3
  - NR management plan: 4
  - Zonation: 5
  - Leadership: 6
  - Display of material: 7
  - Event Book modules: 8
  - Event Book quality: 9
  - Compliance: 10
  - Game census: 11
  - Reporting & adaptive m/ment: 12
  - Law enforcement: 13
  - Human Wildlife Conflict: 14
  - Harvesting management: 15
  - Sources of NR income: 16
  - Benefits produced: 17
  - Resource trends: 18
  - Resource targets: 19

**Wildlife status summary in 2016**

- **Key to the status barometer**
  - Wildlife status: very rare, rare, uncommon, common, abundant
  - Success/threat flags: success/benefit created, weakness/ action needed

**Human wildlife conflict**

- **Human wildlife conflict trend**
  - The chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators.

- **Poaching**
  - Number of incidents per year
  - Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits.
  - The chart shows the number of incidents per category.

**Wildlife removals – quota and value use**

- **Species**
  - Quota 2016
  - Animals actually used in 2016
  - Total: 3,320
  - Trophy: 2,400
  - Other Use: 600
  - Potential Trophy Value: 19,400
  - Potential Other Use Value: 19,400

- **Potential value estimates (N$) for species are based on:**
  - Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
  - Trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
  - Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species
  - The average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *) for high value species are never used for meat.
Wildlife introductions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2016</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Count Trend</th>
<th>National Guideline</th>
<th>Desired Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtn. Zebra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife mortalities

Annual game count

Chips show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count, status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.

Predator monitoring

Chips show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year, status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years.

Vegetation monitoring

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long term average (2001-2015).

Sightings indicator

Locally rare species

Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Annual rainfall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rainfall (in millimetres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

- **Date Registered:** March 2011
- **Population (2011 census):** 1820
- **Size (square kilometres):** 1234

Conservancy Governance

- **Number of management committee members:** 14
- **Date of last AGM:** Fri, July 15, 2016
- **Attendance at AGM:** Men: 159; Women: 158
- **Date of next AGM:** Thu, July 6, 2017

Other important issues

- **Budget approved?** ✓
- **Work plan approved?** ✓

Employment

- **Conservancy staff:**
  - Male: 4
  - Female: 4
- **Community game guards:** 6
- **Community resource monitors:** 0
- **Lodge staff:**
  - Male: 0
  - Female: 0

Benefits

- **Cash**
- **In Kind**
- **Social Benefits**

Conservancy Self Evaluation

How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Management and Utilisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>So far we haven’t had problems with implementation of these activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Business and Financial Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trophy hunting JV doing well but no tourism activities although we have attractive covers and sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Although we do not have staff contracts in place, we are doing well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Management/Register</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Although we are doing well we need a lot to do better.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>We have two people visiting all villages on awareness, education and support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We are trying our level best but we don’t have transport to secure the process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservancy statistics

- **Date Registered:** March 2011
- **Population (2011 census):** 1820
- **Size (square kilometres):** 1234