maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2016
the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

Approximate Total Returns N$ 65,100

Combined hunting returns N$ 65,100 (100 %)

Veld product returns N$ 0 ( %)

Other returns (e.g. interest) N$ 0 ( %)

Natural resource returns are outweighed by approximate conflict costs
Total returns: N$ 65,100

Approximate conflict costs: N$ 188,040

Natural resource returns are outweighed by approximate conflict costs
Total returns: N$ 65,100

Approximate conflict costs: N$ 188,040

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2016
the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs

Management performance in 2016

Category Performance

Adequate staffing
Adequate expenditure
Audit attendance
NR management plan
Zonation
Leadership
Display of material
Event Book modules
Event Book quality
Compliance
Game census
Reporting & adaptive m/ment
Law enforcement
Human Wildlife Conflict
Hunting management
Sources of NR income
Benefits produced
Resource trends
Resource targets

Key to the status barometer

Wildlife status

extinct very rare rare uncommon common abundant

weak/bad reasonable good

Success/threat flags

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

**Current wildlife numbers and status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2016</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtn. Zebra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wildlife Status**
- **Count trend** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.
- **National guideline** – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.
- **Desired number** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

- **dark green (abundant)** – there should be less;
- **light green (common)** – the desired number is reached;
- **yellow (uncommon)** – there should be more;
- **light orange (rare)** – there should be more than double;
- **dark orange (very rare)** – there should be more than triple;
- **red (extinct)** – the species needs to be reintroduced.

**Locally rare species**

- Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information

**Wildlife introductions**

**Wildlife mortalities**

**Annual rainfall**

**Annual game count**

charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years

**Predator monitoring**

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years

**Vegetation monitoring**

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long term average (2001-2015)

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>February 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population (2011 census):</td>
<td>1170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>956</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservancy Governance

Number of management committee members: 20
Date of last AGM:
Attendance at AGM: Men: ; Women: 
Date of next AGM:
Other important issues
   Budget approved? 
   Work plan approved?

Employment

Conservancy staff: Male 5
   Female 0
Community game guards: 5
Community resource monitors: 0
Lodge staff: Male 0
   Female 0

Benefits

Cash
In Kind

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Management and Utilisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Management</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>We completed the whole process up to reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Business and Financial Planning</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>We hear about it but don’t see the process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We only know of our caves but not much has been developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As said on NRM we do well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Management/Register</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We are in the process of building our office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We are doing all we had planned to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We are doing our level best to reach communities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>