Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2016
the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

- Combined tourism returns
- Combined hunting returns
- Veld product returns
- Other returns (e.g. interest)

Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy:
- cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments
- employment to conservancy residents

Conservancy income

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2016
estimates are based on average national values

- Estimated human wildlife conflict cost
- Estimated poached high value species loss
- Total conflict cost estimate

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2016
the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs

Management performance in 2016

- Category
- Performance

- Wildlife status summary in 2016

Wildlife status summary in 2016

Key to the status barometer

Wildlife status
extinct very rare rare uncommon common abundant
weak/bad reasonable good

Success/threat flags

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

**Current wildlife numbers and status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2016</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtn. Zebra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife Status
- Count trend: gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.
- National guideline: gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.
- Desired number: gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

- dark green (abundant) – there should be more;
- light green (common) – the desired number is reached;
- yellow (uncommon) – there should be more;
- light orange (rare) – there should be more than double;
- dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple;
- red (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

**Locally rare species**

- Ostrich and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

**Annual game count**

Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. Status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.

**Wildlife introductions**

**Wildlife mortalities**

**Annual rainfall**

**Predator monitoring**

Charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year. Status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years.

**Vegetation monitoring**

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover during Feb-April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the long term average (2001-2015).

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

**Conservancy statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>October 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population (2011 census):</td>
<td>1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>1196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Constitutional adherence**

- Approved constitution: ✗
- AGM held: ✗
- Management and utilisation plan: ✔
- Financial annual report approved at AGM: ✗
- Financial report external review: ✔
- Benefit distribution plan: ✔

**Conservancy Governance**

- Number of management committee members: 12
- Date of last AGM: Sat, November 26, 2016
- Attendance at AGM: Men: ; Women: 
- Date of next AGM: 
- Other important issues: 
  - Budget approved?: ✗
  - Work plan approved?: ✗

**Employment**

- Conservancy staff: Male 1, Female 1
- Community game guards: 1
- Community resource monitors: 0
- Lodge staff: Male 0, Female 0

**Benefits**

- Cash
- Social Benefits

**Conservancy Self Evaluation** How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Management and Utilisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>According to GMUP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Illegal settlers in wildlife areas sometimes hunt in settlements and cropping area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not implementing what is in the benefit distribution plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MET HWCSR grant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Business and Financial Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Management/Register</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>