Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2016
the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined tourism returns</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined hunting returns</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife removals</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated poached value</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other returns</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approximate Total Returns N$ 2,271,460

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2016
estimates are based on average national values

- Estimated human wildlife conflict cost N$ 86,810
- Estimated poached high value species loss N$ 0
- Total conflict cost estimate N$ 86,810

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2016
the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs

Returns
- Combined狩猎 returns N$ 2,271,460 (98%)
- Wildlife removals N$ 86,810
- Employment N$ 410,250
- Estimated poached high value species loss N$ 0

Costs
- Combined tourism returns N$ 0 (%)
- Combined hunting returns N$ 2,271,460 (98%)
- Wildlife removals N$ 86,810
- Employment N$ 410,250

Management performance in 2016

- Adequate staffing: 80%
- Adequate expenditure: 80%
- Audit attendance: 80%
- NR management plan: 80%
- Zonation: 80%
- Leadership: 80%
- Compliance: 80%
- Human Wildlife Conflict: 80%
- Harvesting management: 80%
- Sources of NR income: 80%
- Benefits produced: 80%
- Resource trends: 80%
- Resource targets: 80%
- Employment to conservancy residents: 80%
- Other use: 80%

Wildlife status summary in 2016

Human wildlife conflict

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Trophy</th>
<th>Other use</th>
<th>Trophy</th>
<th>Own Dale</th>
<th>&amp; Premium</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>&amp; Sell</th>
<th>Capture</th>
<th>&amp; Sale</th>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crocodile</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Zebra</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential value estimates (N$) for species are based on:
- Potential trophy value - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
- Trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- Potential other use value - the average meat value for common species
- the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an “*”) (high value species are never used for meat)

Wildlife status summary

- Crocodile: abundant
- Elephant: very rare
- Hippo: rare
- B. Zebra: uncommon

Key to the status barometer

Wildlife status
- extinct
- very rare
- rare
- uncommon
- common
- abundant
- weak/bad
- reasonable
- good

Success/threat flags
- success
- benefit created
- weakness
- action needed

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
Predator monitoring

Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information.

Wildlife introductions

Wildlife mortalities

Annual rainfall

Fixed route patrols

charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year

Locally rare species

Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Wildlife Status

Count trend – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

National guideline – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.

Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) – there should be less;

light green (common) – the desired number is reached;

yellow (uncommon) – there should be more;

light orange (rare) – there should be more than double;

dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple;

red (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

Percent tree cover / average biomass per hectare

Vegetation monitoring

Number of animals

charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year

status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years

Wildlife introductions

Wildlife mortalities

Annual rainfall

Fixed route patrols

charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year

Locally rare species

Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Wildlife Status

Count trend – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

National guideline – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.

Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

dark green (abundant) – there should be less;

light green (common) – the desired number is reached;

yellow (uncommon) – there should be more;

light orange (rare) – there should be more than double;

dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple;

red (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information.

Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>October 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population (2011 census):</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservancy Governance

| Number of management committee members: | 15 |
| Date of last AGM:                      | Wed, November 9, 2016 |
| Attendance at AGM:                     | Men: 64; Women: 63 |
| Date of next AGM:                      | 
| Other important issues                 | Budget approved? |
|                                         | Work plan approved? |

Employment

| Conservancy staff: Male | 9 |
| Female                 | 5 |
| Community game guards: | 7 |
| Community resource monitors: | 3 |
| Lodge staff: Male      | 0 |
| Female                 | 0 |

Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Benefits</th>
<th>Other Benefits</th>
<th>Social Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Management and Utilisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No poaching in the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Some activities were not done as the zonation is still new.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More benefits need to go to the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More needs to be done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Business and Financial Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservancy needs a sustainable business and financial plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Diversifying tourism products is needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Management is doing a good job in managing the staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Management/Register</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>No proper asset register in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Few activities are being implemented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proper communication with members is conducted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constitutional adherence

| Approved constitution | ✔ |
| AGM held              | ✔ |
| Management and utilisation plan | ✔ |
| Financial annual report approved at AGM | ✔ |
| Financial report external review | ✗ |
| Benefit distribution plan | ✔ |