maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014
the chart shows the main sources of returns and values
and their percentage of the total returns

**Conservancy income**

**Employment**

**Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014**

**Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2014**

**Management performance in 2015**

**Wildlife status summary in 2015**

Human wildlife conflict

**Human wildlife conflict trend**

the chart shows the total number of incidents each year,
subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators

**Poaching**

**Number of incidents per year**

Commercial poaching is a serious threat to
conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category

**Traps and firearms recovered**

number of incidents per category

**Arrests and convictions**

number of incidents per category

**Wildlife removals – quota use and value**

Species

**Quota 2015**

Animals actually used in 2015

**Potential Trophy Value N$**

**Potential other use Value N$**

Potential value estimates (N$) for species are based on:

- **Potential trophy value** - the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
- **Trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area**
- **Potential other use value** - the average meat value for common species.
- **the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *)”**

**Key to the status barometer**

Wildlife status

**Success/threat flags**

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns.

Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2015</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
<th>Count Trend</th>
<th>National Guideline</th>
<th>Desired Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtn. zebra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>75 - 660</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife Status
- Count trend – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.
- National guideline – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.
- Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

Wildlife introductions
- Gemsbok
- Gemsbok
- Springbok
- Springbok
- Springbok
- Kudu
- Mtn. zebra
- Other
- Springbok
- Springbok

Wildlife mortalities
- Gemsbok
- Gemsbok
- Springbok
- Springbok
- Other
- Springbok
- Kudu
- Steenbok
- Kudu
- Kudu
- Klipspringer
- Jackal

Annual game count
- charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years

Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Annual rainfall
- charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years

Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits.
Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

- Date Registered: September 2005
- Members: 1271
- Size (square kilometres): 2993

Conservancy Governance

- Number of management committee members: 12
- Date of last AGM: Sat, October 10, 2015
- Attendance at AGM: Men: ; Women:
- Date of next AGM: Mon, August 1, 2016

Other important issues

- Financial report approved?
- Budget approved?
- Work plan approved?

Employment

- Conservancy staff: Male 4, Female 3
- Community game guards: 5
- Community resource monitors: 1
- Lodge staff: Male 0, Female 0

Benefits

- Diesel - Okatikawa, Okarundu, Okakambo, Okaulukwa

Conservancy Self Evaluation

How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Some activities e.g. trophy hunting only partially complete. Some allocations of game meat not received including TA allocation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do not plan well how to implement the zonation activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Done a lot of activities. Fully exhausted funding for HWC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Only give meat to community members and not money. Only the TA got money.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Assets kept safe and all in good condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>No suspected HIV/AIDS cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not all institutional data are shown on this report: use your governance institution audit for more information.