Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014
- the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

Approximate Total Returns N$ 3,185,480

Poaching

Number of incidents per year
- Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category

Traps and firearms recovered
- number of incidents per category

Arrests and convictions
- number of incidents per category

Natural resource returns outweigh approximate conflict costs
- Total returns:
  - N$ 3,185,480
- Approximate conflict costs:
  - N$ 107,770
- Approximate positive ratio 30 : 1

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2014
- the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs

Wildlife status summary in 2015

Management performance in 2015
- Category Performance
  1. Adequate staffing
  2. Adequate expenditure
  3. Audit attendance
  4. NR management plan
  5. Zonation
  6. Leadership
  7. Display of material
  8. Event Book modules
  9. Event Book quality
  10. Compliance
  11. Game census
  12. Reporting & adaptive m.ment
  13. Law enforcement
  14. Human Wildlife Conflict
  15. Harvesting management
  16. Sources of NR income
  17. Benefits produced
  18. Resource trends
  19. Resource targets

Wildlife status summary in 2015
- Key to the status barometer
  - Wildlife status
    - ext: extinct
    - v.rare: very rare
    - r: rare
    - u: uncommon
    - c: common
    - a: abundant
  - Success/threat flags
    - weak/bad: weak/benefit created
    - very rare: success/weakness/ action needed
  - Management performance & other data

Wildlife status removals – quota use and value
- Species
  - Quota 2015
  - Animals actually used in 2015
  - Total
  - Trophy
  - Other use
- Potential Trophy Value N$:
  - Commercial
  - High Value

Success/threat flags
- Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

**Current wildlife numbers and status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
<th>Count Trend</th>
<th>National Guideline</th>
<th>Desired Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. Zebra</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>Wildlife Status</td>
<td>Count Trend</td>
<td>National Guideline</td>
<td>Desired Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duiker</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>Wildlife Status</td>
<td>Count Trend</td>
<td>National Guideline</td>
<td>Desired Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wildlife Status</td>
<td>Count Trend</td>
<td>National Guideline</td>
<td>Desired Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wildlife Status</td>
<td>Count Trend</td>
<td>National Guideline</td>
<td>Desired Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impala</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>Wildlife Status</td>
<td>Count Trend</td>
<td>National Guideline</td>
<td>Desired Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>Wildlife Status</td>
<td>Count Trend</td>
<td>National Guideline</td>
<td>Desired Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wildlife Status</td>
<td>Count Trend</td>
<td>National Guideline</td>
<td>Desired Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wildlife Status</td>
<td>Count Trend</td>
<td>National Guideline</td>
<td>Desired Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wildlife Status</td>
<td>Count Trend</td>
<td>National Guideline</td>
<td>Desired Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warthog</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>Wildlife Status</td>
<td>Count Trend</td>
<td>National Guideline</td>
<td>Desired Number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wildlife introductions**

- Plot with more bush
- Plot with same bush
- Plot with less bush

**Wildlife mortalities**

- B. Zebra
- Duiker
- Impala
- Other

**Annual rainfall**

- Years with no rain show gaps in data collection

**Fixed route patrols**

Charts show the number of sightings of each species per fixed route foot patrol each year.

**Predator monitoring**

- Charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year.

**Vegetation monitoring**

Change in bush cover since monitoring began:
- 54% Plots with more bush
- 42% Plots with same bush
- 0% Plots with less bush

**Fire monitoring**

- Times burned between 2009 and 2015
- Fires burned in 2015

Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment. By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance…

Conservancy statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>June 1998</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members:</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservancy Governance

| Number of management committee members: | 35 |
| Date of last AGM: | Sat, December 5, 2015 |
| Attendance at AGM: | Men: 90; Women: 52 |
| Date of next AGM: | Sat, December 5, 2015 |

Other important issues

- Financial report approved?
- Budget approved?
- Work plan approved?

Employment

| Conservancy staff: Male | 28 |
| Female                | 8  |
| Community game guards: | 20 |
| Community resource monitors: | 1 |
| Lodge staff: Male | 31 |
| Female                | 20 |

Benefits

- Cash Distribution - Hwc Compensation
- Cash Distribution - Paying School Fees, Traditional Festival
- Meat Distribution - Conservancy Members

Conservancy Self Evaluation

How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Poaching minimised and wildlife figures increasing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Some members still residing in the core area and still needing to be sensitised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Still want to finalise the registration of the emerging community forestry to have rights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Reduced conflicts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Costs managed and sticking to approved budgets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Still planning to reach the planned target of 60% to members out of the total income generated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Still need more training to help staff understand the roles and implement them correctly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Assets of the conservancy are being taken care off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Members happy with the support from the conservancy on HIV/AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Informed members and maintaining good relationship with members.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>