maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014

- Total Returns NS

- Combined tourism returns
- Combined hunting returns
- Veld product returns
- Other returns (e.g. interest)

Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy:
- Cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments
- Employment

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014

- Estimated human wildlife conflict cost NS
- Estimated poached high value species loss NS
- Total conflict cost estimate NS

Natural resource cost-return ratio in 2014

- Returns data not available at time of printing

Management performance in 2015

- Category:
  1. Adequate staffing
  2. Adequate expenditure
  3. Audit attendance
  4. NR management plan
  5. Zonation
  6. Leadership
  7. Display of material
  8. Event Book modules
  9. Event Book quality
  10. Compliance
  11. Game census
  12. Reporting & adaptive m/ment
  13. Law enforcement
  14. Human Wildlife Conflict
  15. Harvesting management
  16. Sources of NR income
  17. Benefits produced
  18. Resource trends
  19. Resource targets

Wildlife status summary in 2015

- Species:
  - Antelope
  - Oryx
  - Springbok
  - Eland

- Wildlife status:
  - Extinct
  - Very rare
  - Rare
  - Uncommon
  - Common
  - Abundant
  - Threatened

- Success/Threat flags:
  - Success
  - Benefit created
  - Weakness
  - Action Needed

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2015</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtn. Zebra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife Status
- **Count trend** - gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.
- **National guideline** - gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy. For example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.
- **Desired number** - gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

- **Dark green** (abundant) - there should be more;
- **Light green** (common) - the desired number is reached;
- **Yellow** (rare) - there should be more than triple;
- **Red** (very rare) - the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species

- Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information.

Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

Wildlife introductions

Wildlife mortalities

Annual game count charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.

Annual rainfall

Vegetation monitoring

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover in the first 10 days of April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the 10 year average (2001 - 2010).

Predator monitoring charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>March 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members:</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>1067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservancy Governance

| Number of management committee members: | 11 |
| Date of last AGM: | Tue, August 18, 2015 |
| Attendance at AGM: | Men: ; Women: |
| Date of next AGM: | Sat, October 1, 2016 |

Other important issues

- Financial report approved?
- Budget approved?
- Work plan approved?

Employment

- Conservancy staff: Male 8
  - Female 0
- Community game guards: 8
- Community resource monitors: 0
- Lodge staff: Male 0
  - Female 0

Benefits

- Meat Distribution

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>