Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014

- Combined tourism returns: N$ 1,427,500 (84%)
- Combined hunting returns: N$ 516,000 (15%)
- Veld product returns: N$ 0 (%)
- Other returns (e.g. interest): N$ 9,880 (1%)

Two of the most significant returns for the conservancy:
- Cash income to the conservancy to cover running costs and invest in developments
- Employment to conservancy residents

Employment

- Private Sector: 24 staff
- Conservancy: 22 staff

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014

- Estimated human wildlife conflict cost: N$ 0
- Estimated poached high value species loss: N$ 63,600
- Total conflict cost estimate: N$ 63,600

Natural resource cost-return ratio in 2014

- Natural resource returns outweigh approximate conflict costs
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Wildlife status summary in 2015

- Threat status (left to right): Extinct, Very Rare, Rare, Uncommon, Common, Abundant
- Success/threat flags (bottom right): Success/ Benefit Created, Weakness/ Action Needed

Human wildlife conflict trend

- The chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators

Poaching

- Number of incidents per year
- Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits

Number of incidents per category

- Subsistence
- Commercial
- High Value

Traps and firearms recovered

- Firearms recovered
- Traps/snares recovered

Arrests and convictions

- Arrests
- Convictions

Wildlife removals – quota use and value

- Species: Crocodile, Elephant, Hippo
- Quota 2015: 1, 1, 1
- Potential Trophy Value: 19,155, 304,330, 5,500
- Potential Other Use Value: 63,600, 5,500

Potential value estimates (NE) for species are based on:
- Potential trophy value: the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
- Trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, International recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- Potential other use value: the average meat value for common species
- The average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *) (high value species are never used for meat)

Key to the status barometer

- Wildlife status: Extinct, Very Rare, Rare, Uncommon, Common, Abundant
- Success/threat flags: Success/ Benefit Created, Weakness/ Action Needed

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>December 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members:</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conservancy Governance

| Number of management committee members: | 13 |
| Date of last AGM:              | Thu, October 22, 2015 |
| Attendance at AGM:             | Men: 30; Women: 47 |
| Date of next AGM:              | Thu, October 20, 2016 |

Other important issues

- Financial report approved?
- Budget approved?
- Work plan approved?

Employment

| Conservancy staff: Male | 10 |
| Female                 | 6  |
| Community game guards: | 4  |
| Community resource monitors: | 1 |
| Lodge staff: Male      | 0  |
| Female                 | 0  |

Conservancy Self Evaluation

How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Did not fully implement other activities in the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other members are not cooperating, map is not properly done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Financial Constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No tourism plan document in office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plan is lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Document lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No plan exists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting and information communicated on timer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constitutional adherence

- Approved constitution: ✔
- AGM held: ✔
- Management and utilisation plan: ✔
- Financial annual report approved at AGM: ✔
- Financial report external review: ☒
- Benefit distribution plan: ☒

Benefits

- Sipelu Group
- Bukalo
- Sub-khuta
- Schools
- Churches
- Boat For Community
- Meat Distribution - Members
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- **Number of management committee members:** 13
- **Date of last AGM:** Thu, October 22, 2015
- **Attendance at AGM:** Men: 30; Women: 47
- **Date of next AGM:** Thu, October 20, 2016

**Other important issues**

- Financial report approved?
- Budget approved?
- Work plan approved?

**Employment**

- **Conservancy staff:** Male 10, Female 6
- **Community game guards:** 4
- **Community resource monitors:** 1
- **Lodge staff:** Male 0, Female 0

**Conservancy Self Evaluation**

How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plan is lost</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No plan exists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
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**Constitutional adherence**

- **Approved constitution:** ✔
- **AGM held:** ✔
- **Management and utilisation plan:** ✔
- **Financial annual report approved at AGM:** ✔
- **Financial report external review:** ☒
- **Benefit distribution plan:** ☒