maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014
the chart shows the main sources of returns and values
and their percentage of the total returns
Approximate Total Returns N$ 374,200

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014
estimates are based on average national values
Estimated human wildlife conflict cost N$ 384,270
Estimated poached high value species loss N$ 96,590
Total conflict cost estimate N$ 480,860

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2014
the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs
Natural resource returns are outweighed by approximate conflict costs
Total returns: N$ 374,200
Approximate conflict costs: N$ 480,860
Approximate negative ratio 1 : 1.3

Management performance in 2015

Category Performance
1 Adequate staffing
2 Adequate expenditure
3 Audit attendance
4 NR management plan
5 Zonation
6 Leadership
7 Display of material
8 Event Book modules
9 Event Book quality
10 Compliance
11 Game census
12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment
13 Law enforcement
14 Human Wildlife Conflict
15 Harvesting management
16 Sources of NR income
17 Benefits produced
18 Resource trends
19 Resource targets

Wildlife status summary in 2015

Key to the status barometer
Wildlife status
extinct very rare rare uncommon common abundant

Management performance & other data

Conservation & other sources of NR income

Potential value estimates (N$) for species are based on:
• Potential trophy value – the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
• Trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, International recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
• Potential other use value – the average meat value for common species
• the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *) [high value species are never used for meat]

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2015</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Count Trend</th>
<th>National Guideline</th>
<th>Desired Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mh. zebra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife Status

Count trend – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.

National guideline – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.

Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

- dark green (abundant) – there should be less;
- light green (common) – the desired number is reached;
- yellow (uncommon) – there should be more;
- light orange (rare) – there should be more than double;
- dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple;
- red (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species

- Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information.

Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information.

Annual rainfall

- Years with no rain show gaps in data collection in millimetres.

Predator monitoring

- charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year.
- status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years.

Vegetation monitoring

- Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover in the first 10 days of April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the 10 year average (2001-2010).

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>October 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members:</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>2912</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constitutional adherence

- Approved constitution
- AGM held
- Management and utilisation plan
- Financial annual report approved at AGM
- Financial report external review
- Benefit distribution plan

Conservancy Governance

- Number of management committee members: 11
- Date of last AGM: Mon, November 30, 2015
- Attendance at AGM: Men: ; Women: 
- Date of next AGM: Tue, November 1, 2016

Other important issues

- Financial report approved?
- Budget approved?
- Work plan approved?

Employment

- Conservancy staff: Male 0, Female 0
- Community game guards: 8
- Community resource monitors: 0
- Lodge staff: Male 7, Female 5

Benefits

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All well done according to the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zonation area is not GPSed and mapped yet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We don’t harvest our NR and don’t have proper control in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We still lost livestock due to predators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We need our own campsite and a PH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We report back to the community on monies received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We didn’t hunt game to distribute money to community in 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We don’t have staff contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It does not work we don’t have assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We always teach people on HIV/AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Our way of communication is very effective.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>