maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014
The chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns.
Approximate Total Returns N$ 2,612,360
- Combined tourism returns N$ 1,525,710 (58%)
- Combined hunting returns N$ 417,780 (16%)
- Other returns (e.g. interest) N$ 2,240 (0%)

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014
- Estimated human wildlife conflict cost N$ 77,000
- Estimated poached high value species loss N$ 22,290
- Total conflict cost estimate N$ 99,290

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2014
The chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs.

Management performance in 2015
Adequate staffing is required for maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats.

Wildlife status summary in 2015

Key to the status barometer

Wildlife status
- Extinct
- Very rare
- Rare
- Uncommon
- Common
- Abundant
- Weak/bad
- Reasonable
- Good

Success/threat flags
- Success/ benefit created
- Weakness/ action needed

Conservatories reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

### Current wildlife numbers and status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td>1 5 - 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td>7 30 - 70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtn. Zebra</td>
<td>5 21 - 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td>6 23 - 40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td>231 914 - 2090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td>4 19 - 220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wildlife Status**
- Count trend - gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.
- National guideline – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.
- Desired number – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

- Dark green (abundant) – there should be more;
- Light green (common) – the desired number is reached;
- Yellow (uncommon) – there should be more;
- Light orange (rare) – there should be more than triple;
- Dark orange (very rare) – there should be more than triple;
- Red (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

### Locally rare species

- Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information.
- Locally rare and endangered species are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

### Annual game count

Charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count. Status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.

### Annual rainfall

Charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year. Status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years.

### Predator monitoring

Charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year. Status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years.

### Vegetation monitoring

Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover in the first 10 days of April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the 10 year average (2001-2010).

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human-wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance…

**Conservancy statistics**

- Date Registered: July 2003
- Members: 434
- Size (square kilometres): 1570

**Conservancy Governance**

- Number of management committee members: 10
- Date of last AGM: Thu, July 23, 2015
- Attendance at AGM: Men: ; Women: 
- Date of next AGM: Sat, July 2, 2016
- Other important issues
  - Financial report approved?
  - Budget approved?
  - Work plan approved?

**Employment**

- Conservancy staff: Male 13
  - Female 5
- Community game guards: 8
- Community resource monitors: 0
- Lodge staff: Male 0
  - Female 0

**Benefits**

- Infrastructure
- Cash Benefits
- Meat Benefits

**Conservancy Self Evaluation** How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The document is still a draft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We normally do excellently but with drought it was difficult to get people off core wildlife areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provide offsets to all members from our own budget. A challenge with non members who don’t receive any off-sets as it is unconstitutional.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We have done very well. It is a good achievement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We have done pretty well with implementing the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plan very well implemented. Good benefits provided to members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contracts are yet to be signed by the employees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Asset register is revised every 3 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We don’t have a plan but communication is easy. Cellphone coverage, notice board etc. We have received training on several occasions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>