maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary
- Returns from natural resources in 2014
- Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014
- Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2014
- Management performance in 2015

Human wildlife conflict trend
- The chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, as herbivores and predators

Poaching
- Number of incidents per year
- Traps and firearms recovered
- Arrests and convictions

Wildlife status summary in 2015
- Wildlife status
- Management performance & other data

Key to the status barometer
- Wildlife status
- Success/threat flags
- Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment. By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.

## Current wildlife numbers and status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2015</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Wildlife Status
- **Count trend** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.
- **National guideline** – gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy, for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.
- ** Desired number** – gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

- **dark green** (abundant) – there should be less;
- **light green** (common) – the desired number is reached;
- **yellow** (uncommon) – there should be more;
- **light orange** (rare) – there should be more than double;
- **dark orange** (very rare) – there should be more than triple;
- **red** (extinct) – the species needs to be reintroduced.

## Wildlife introductions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartebeest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Wildlife mortalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Annual rainfall

- **Annual rainfall in millimetres**
- **Years with no rain show gaps in data collection**

## Annual game count

- **Currently not done**

## Locally rare species

- **Not all data or species are shown on this report; use your Event Book for more information**
- **Locally rare and endangered species** are not found very often in the conservancy and need special conservation attention.

---

### Sightings indicator

- **2013**
- **2014**
- **2015**

---

### Predator monitoring

- **charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year**
- **status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years**
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

- Date Registered: September 2005
- Members: 80
- Size (square kilometres): 3824

Conservancy Governance

- Number of management committee members: 12
- Date of last AGM: Tue, October 13, 2015
- Attendance at AGM: Men: 50; Women: 31
- Date of next AGM:

Other important issues

- Financial report approved?
- Budget approved?
- Work plan approved?

Employment

- Conservancy staff: Male 0, Female 1
- Community game guards: 2
- Community resource monitors: 0
- Lodge staff: Male 0, Female 0

Benefits

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>