maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014
the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns
Approximate Total Returns N$ 1,040

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014
estimates are based on average national values
Estimated human wildlife conflict cost N$ 363,340
Estimated poached high value species loss N$ 0
Total conflict cost estimate N$ 363,340

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2014
the chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs

Management performance in 2014

Category Score Performance
1 Adequate staffing 2 Adequate
2 Adequate expenditure 3 Adequate
3 Audit attendance 3 Adequate
4 NR management plan 2 Adequate
5 Zonation 2 Adequate
6 Leadershiip 2 Adequate
7 Display of material 1 Reasonable
8 Event Book modules 2 Reasonable
9 Event Book quality 3 Adequate
10 Compliance 2 Adequate
11 Game census 3 Adequate
12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment 3 Reasonable
13 Law enforcement 2 Adequate
14 Human Wildlife Conflict 1 Adequate
15 Harvesting management 1 Adequate
16 Sources of NR income 1 Poor
17 Benefits produced 2 Adequate
18 Resource trends 3 Adequate
19 Resource targets 1 Poor

Wildlife status summary in 2014

Human wildlife conflict
the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators

Poaching
Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category

Number of incidents per year

Most troublesome problem animals 2012-2014
the chart shows the number of incidents per species for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species

Type of damage by problem animals 2012-2014
the chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years; the darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each type

Traps and firearms recovered
number of incidents per category

Arrests and convictions
number of incidents per category

Wildlife removals – quota use and value

Potential value estimates (N$) for that species in the conservancy landscape

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
monitoring numbers and trends for a healthy conservancy...

Current wildlife numbers and status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals Seen 2014</th>
<th>Estimated population range</th>
<th>Wildlife Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemsbok</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraffe</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klipspringer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudu</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtn. Zebra</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15 - 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100 - 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steenbok</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife Status
- Count trend - gives the species status in the conservancy based on game count trend data.
- National guideline - gives the species status in the conservancy using national guidelines for the conservancy; for example, lions may cause local problems, but are of high value and are rare at landscape level.
- Desired number - gives the species status in the conservancy based on what the conservancy would like to have.

- dark green (abundant) - should be more than triple;
- light green (common) - the desired number is reached;
- yellow (uncommon) - there should be more;
- light orange (rare) - there should be more than double;
- dark orange (very rare) - there should be more than triple;
- red (extinct) - the species needs to be reintroduced.

Locally rare species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sightings indicator 2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baboon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springbok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wildlife introductions

Annual game count
charts show the number of animals seen each year per 100 km driven during the game count.
status barometers reflect the general count trend over the last 5 years.

Predator monitoring
charts show the average number of animals seen per Event Book each year.
status barometers reflect the general sightings trend over the last 5 years.

Vegetation monitoring
Green vegetation index (NDVI). Maps show vegetation cover in the first 10 days of April of the current year and the difference between the current year and the 10 year average (2001-2010).

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

### Conservancy statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>May 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members:</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Constitutional adherence

- Approved constitution: ✔
- AGM held: ✔
- Management and utilisation plan: ✗
- Financial annual report: ✗
- Benefit distribution plan: ✗
- Audit of the constitution: ✗

### Conservancy Governance

| Number of management committee members: | 16 |
| Date of last AGM: | 28 February 2014 |
| Attendance at AGM: | Men: ; Women: |
| Date of next AGM: | 28 February 2015 |

### Other important issues

- Financial report approved?: ✗
- Budget approved?: ✗
- Work plan approved?: ✔

### Employment

- Conservancy staff: Male 0, Female 0
- Community game guards: 8
- Community resource monitors: 0
- Lodge staff: Male 0, Female 0

### Benefits

- HIV/AIDS Plan: Because it works effectively
- Communication Plan: Because the message do reach the people.
- Benefit Distribution Plan: Because we distribute to the community the little we get.
- Staff Plan: Only some game guards were trained for the event book.
- Assets Plan: No assets for the conservancy
- Sustainable Financial Plan: There is no income.
- Natural Resource Plan: Taking care of NR work very well but after harvesting we don't get the buyer.
- Human Wildlife Conflict Plan: We try our best to take care of the livestock and reduce the incidents
- Tourism Plan: Currently there is no campsite, no joint venture or hunting taking place
- Zonation Plan: Because it's working very well
- Game Utilisation and Management Plan: Because people who are working are voluteering, not getting paid so sometimes they are forced to work.

### Effectiveness of implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Because people who are working are voluteering, not getting paid so sometimes they are forced to work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Because its working very well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Taking care of NR work very well but after harvesting we don't get the buyer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>We try our best to take care of the livestock and reduce the incidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Currently there is no campsite, no joint venture or hunting taking place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>There is no income.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Because we distribute to the community the little we get.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Only some game guards were trained for the event book.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>No assets for the conservancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Because it works effectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Plan</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Because the message do reach the people.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conservancy Self Evaluation

How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?