maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Returns from natural resources in 2014</th>
<th>Approximate Total Returns NS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Human wildlife conflict trend

- The chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators.

Poaching

- Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category.

Number of incidents per year

- The chart shows the number of incidents per category.

Most troublesome problem animals 2012-2014

- The most troublesome species in 2014 are on the left.
- The least troublesome species in 2014 are on the right.

Type of damage by problem animals 2012-2014

- The chart shows the number of incidents per category for the last 3 years.
- The darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species.

Wildlife status summary in 2014

- The darkest bar (on the right) indicates the current year for each species.
- The chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators.

Key to the status barometer

- Extinct: very rare: rare: uncommon: common: abundant
- Weak/bad: reasonable: good

Management performance & other data

- Returns data not available at time of printing.
- Combined tourism returns: NS 0 (%)
- Combined hunting returns: NS 0 (%)
- Weld product returns: NS 0 (%)
- Other returns (e.g. interest): NS 0 (%)

Cost of natural resource conflicts in 2014

- Estimated human wildlife conflict cost: NS 165,420
- Estimated poached high value species loss: NS 0
- Total conflict cost estimate: NS 165,420

Natural resource cost–return ratio in 2014

- The chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs.

Wildlife status summary

- The chart shows the approximate ratio of returns to costs.

Wildlife removals – quota use and value

- Species: Quota 2014: Animals actually used in 2014
- Potential Trophy Value: Potential Other Use Value

Potential value estimates (NS) for species are based on:
- Potential trophy value: the average trophy value for that species in the conservancy landscape
- Trophy values vary depending on trophy quality, international recognition of the hunting operator and the hunting area
- Potential other use value: the average meat value for common species
- Potential other use value: the average live sale value of each high value species (indicated with an *)

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
Enabling wise conservancy governance...

Conservancy statistics

- Date Registered: October 2006
- Members: 19
- Size (square kilometres): 8729

Conservancy Governance

- Number of management committee members: 12
- Date of last AGM: 01 October 2014
- Attendance at AGM: Men: ; Women: 
- Date of next AGM: 30 October 2015
- Other important issues
  - Financial report approved?
  - Budget approved?
  - Work plan approved?

Employment

- Conservancy staff: Male 13
  Female 2
- Community game guards: 12
- Community resource monitors: 0
- Lodge staff: Male 0
  Female 0

Benefits

- Traditional Authority
  - Meat Distribution

Conservancy Self Evaluation How well does the conservancy consider it has performed in the past year?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Explanation of effectiveness rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>