maximising wildlife returns by minimising threats...

Conservancy status summary

Returns from natural resources in 2014
the chart shows the main sources of returns and values and their percentage of the total returns

Approximate Total Returns NS 13,620

Conservancy income NS 13,620

Management performance in 2014

Management performance 2014

Category Score Performance

1 Adequate staffing 1
2 Adequate expenditure 0
3 Audit attendance 0
4 NR management plan 0
5 Zonation 1
6 Leaders’hip 2
7 Display of material 1
8 Event Book modules 2
9 Event Book quality 2
10 Compliance 2
11 Game census 0
12 Reporting & adaptive m/ment 3
13 Law enforcement 2
14 Human Wildlife Conflict 1
15 Harvesting management 1
16 Sources of NR income 0
17 Benefits produced 0
18 Resource trends 0
19 Resource targets 0

Human wildlife conflict

Human wildlife conflict trend
the chart shows the total number of incidents each year, subdivided by species, grouped as herbivores and predators

Number of incidents per year
Commercial poaching is a serious threat to conservancy benefits. The chart shows the number of incidents per category

Poaching

Traps and firearms recovered
number of incidents per category

Arrests and convictions
number of incidents per category

Wildlife status summary in 2014

Wildlife status

Key to the status barometer

Wildlife status

extinct very rare rare uncommon common abundant

weak/bad reasonable good

Success/threat flags

Conservancies reduce environmental costs while increasing environmental returns. Returns from wildlife can far outweigh human wildlife conflict costs.
Wildlife provides a wide range of benefits. Some wildlife can cause conflicts, but all wildlife is of value to tourism, trophy hunting and a healthy environment.

By using all the available information and adapting and improving activities, threats such as human wildlife conflict, poaching and other issues can be minimised.
## Enabling wise conservancy governance...

### Conservancy statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Registered:</th>
<th>May 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members:</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (square kilometres):</td>
<td>1548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Constitutional adherence

- Approved constitution: ✔
- AGM held: ✔
- Management and utilisation plan: ✔
- Financial annual report: ✔
- Benefit distribution plan: ✔
- Audit of the constitution: ✗

### Conservancy Governance

- Number of management committee members: 15
- Date of last AGM: 28 June 2014
- Attendance at AGM: Men: ; Women: 
- Date of next AGM: 28 June 2015
- Other important issues
  - Financial report approved?: ✔
  - Budget approved?: ✔
  - Work plan approved?: ✔

### Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conservancy staff</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community game guards</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community resource monitors</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodge staff</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Employment Plan

- No staff plan, game guards are not paid and coordinator are not paid because there is no income.

### Assets Plan

- No game, no any other source of income.

### Natural Resource Plan

- Community forest is emerging and is due for gazetting.

### Tourism Plan

- We requested a concession area inside Etosha that would be put on tender together with lodge development within conservancy area as one tourism.

### Sustainable Financial Plan

- We don’t have income to support other extra activity for conservancy.

### Benefit Distribution Plan

- No quota, no enough game, no any other income but we have a benefit distribution plan in place.

### HWC Plan

- Implemented a HWC self reliance scheme.

### Effectiveness of implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of implementation</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Game Utilisation and Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonation Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Wildlife Conflict Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Financial Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Distribution Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Explanation of effectiveness rating

- Wildlife water not developed therefore there are no game in the area on a permanent basis.
- Is agreed upon by TA and stakeholders, but is due to be approved by next AGM.
- Community forest is emerging and is due for gazetting.
- We requested a concession area inside Etosha that would be put on tender together with lodge development within conservancy area as one tourism.